The Company is ETA-15-R-00103 for the procureme	currently responding to the U.S. Department of Labor RFP #DOL- ent of the continued operation of the Miami Job Corps Center.
a source selection factor. They are reidentified and their participation in the you provide the following information	acing increased emphasis in their procurements on past performance requiring that clients of entities responding to their solicitations be the evaluation process be requested. Therefore, we are requesting the on regarding our performance on the contract identified below. Pleationnaire and return it directly to the address shown below no later the
	TTN: Charmaine Scruggs-Robinson fice of Contracts Management (OCM)
U.S	S. DOL – ETA/Job Corps 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
	Washington, DC 20410
	-mail - scruggs-robinson.c@dol.gov
By my signature below, I authorize y Labor regarding our performance on	you to respond to any additional inquiries by the U. S. Department of the referenced contract.
(Signature)	(Date)
(Title)	
A. <u>Past Performance</u>	
A. Contract Identifying Informat	tion:
Offeror:	
Offeror.	
Project Title & Contract Number:	
	Phone No.
Project Title & Contract Number:	Phone No.
Project Title & Contract Number: Contracting Officer:	
Project Title & Contract Number: Contracting Officer: Project Manager: Address:	Phone No. FAX No.
Project Title & Contract Number: Contracting Officer: Project Manager:	Phone No.
Project Title & Contract Number: Contracting Officer: Project Manager: Address: Work Performance Period:	Phone No. FAX No. to

B. Outcomes vs. Goals:	
1. Were there measurable performance goals or outcomes associated with this contract? If yes, describe:	☐ Yes ☐ No
For the following questions, where applicable, check the a represents your assessment of the contractor's performance: I Marginal, Unsatisfactory	
2. How effective was the contractor in achieving those outcomes? (Explain any rating below Satisfactory)	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory
C. Cost Control: How well has the contractor:	
Performed all contracted services within the budget?	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory
Submitted complete and accurate financial reports and invoices?	☐ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory
 3. Has a draft or final audit report disclosed questioned or disallowed costs? If yes, indicate: period covered by audit disallowed or recommended for disallowance disallowed costs as a % of audited funds 	□ Yes □ No
Comments: (Explain any ratings below Satisfactory)	Summary Adjectival Rating of Cost Control Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory

D. Timeliness of Performance: How well has the contractor:		
Completed contract requirements and submitted reports and schedules according to specified time frames?	□ Exceptional □ Marginal □ Very Good □ Unsatisfactory □ Satisfactory	
2. Responded to technical direction and requests in a timely manner?	☐ Exceptional ☐ Marginal ☐ Very Good ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Satisfactory	
Comments: (Explain any ratings below Satisfactory)	Summary Adjectival Rating of Timeliness of Performance	
	☐ Exceptional ☐ Marginal ☐ Very Good ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Satisfactory	
E. Business Relations: To what extent has the contr	ractor:	
Been pro-active in contract monitoring and review?	□ Exceptional □ Marginal□ Very Good □ Unsatisfactory□ Satisfactory	
2. Demonstrated a responsive and cooperative working relationship with the Contracting Officer and Project staff?	□ Exceptional □ Marginal□ Very Good□ Unsatisfactory□ Satisfactory	
3. Promptly notified the Contracting Officer of potential problems?	□ Exceptional □Marginal□ Very Good□ Unsatisfactory□ Satisfactory	
4. Used effective approaches and provided technical expertise and resources to solve contract problems?	□ Exceptional □Marginal□ Very Good□ Unsatisfactory□ Satisfactory	
Comments: (Explain any ratings below Satisfactory)	Summary Adjectival Rating of Business Relations	
	□ Exceptional □ Marginal□ Very Good□ Unsatisfactory□ Satisfactory	

F. Customer Satisfaction: To what extent has the contractor:	
Been effective in tailoring the program to meet the needs of the customers?	☐ Exceptional
the customers?	□ Very Good
	Satisfactory
	□Marginal
	□Unsatisfactory
2. Shown flexibility in operating the program to meet changing program needs and emphases?	☐ Exceptional
program needs and emphases:	□ Very Good
	□Satisfactory
	□Marginal
	□Unsatisfactory
Comments: (Explain any ratings below Satisfactory)	Summary Adjectival Rating of Customer Satisfaction
	☐ Exceptional
	□ Very Good
	☐ Satisfactory
	□Marginal
	Unsatisfactory
Additional Comments:	
Name & Title of Individual Completing Information:	Phone No.

(For Department of Labor Use Only) SUMMARY PAST EXPERIENCE CONFIRMATION

Verified by:	Date:
Torriton by:	Date.